

An approach to sample size estimation, by Shelley Rasmussen

Sample Size Determination for a single sample: Suppose we want to be able to detect a difference between a sample mean and a “standard” mean M , if the absolute value of the difference is at least Δ . We do not want the interval $\bar{x} \pm c \times SE(\bar{x})$ to contain M if the absolute value of $(\bar{x} - M)$ is greater than or equal to Δ . (We say such a difference is “statistically significant”.) Equivalently, we do not want the interval $(\bar{x} - M) \pm c \times SE(\bar{x})$ to contain 0 if $|\bar{x} - M| \geq \Delta$. Then we want $c \times SE(\bar{x}) \leq \Delta$. Since $SE(\bar{x}) = s/\sqrt{n}$, the inequality we need to solve is: $n \geq c^2 s^2 / \Delta^2$.

Example: Our company is developing a new drug to lower blood pressure. Here is the drop in BP (mm Hg) we see in a sample of 5 volunteers: 8, 9, 11, 12, 14.

One sample t-test of $\mu = 10$ vs not = 10

Variable	N	Mean	StDev	SE	95% CI	T	P
change in BP	5	10.80	2.39	1.07	(7.84, 13.76)	0.75	0.495

How big must the sample size be to say that the mean of the sample is “significantly” greater than 10 mm Hg? We have $\Delta = 0.8$ mm Hg. To be conservative, let $c = 2.5$ and $s = 2.4$. Then $n \geq 2.5^2 \times 2.4^2 / 0.8^2 = 56.25$. We need a sample of at least 57 volunteers. (If we let $c = 2$, the sample size estimate becomes $n = 36$.)

Another example: In the past, you have been able to “cure” 60% of patients with a given disease. You have a new treatment to test and you want to say it is better than the old treatment if it “cures” at least 70% of patients. How many patients with the disease do you need to treat with the new treatment? We will be “conservative” and let $s^2 = 0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$ and let $c = 2.5$. Then $\Delta = .7 - .6 = .1$ and $n \geq 2.5^2 \times (0.5)^2 / 0.1^2 = 156.25$ or 157 patients. (If we let $c = 2$, the estimate is $n = 100$ patients.)

[Recall that to use this large sample analysis for 0/1 data, we need at least 5 observations in each of the 2 categories, which seems reasonable here.]

Sample Size Determination for comparison of 2 means: Suppose we want to be able to detect a “significant” difference between 2 population means if the absolute value of the difference is greater than or equal to Δ .

We do not want the confidence interval $(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \pm c \times SE(\bar{x} - \bar{y})$ to contain 0 if $|\bar{x} - \bar{y}| \geq \Delta$ (we will say such a difference is “statistically significant”). Therefore, we want $c \times SE(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \leq \Delta$.

Since $SE(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) = s \times \sqrt{2/n}$, the inequality we need to solve is: $n \geq 2c^2 s^2 / \Delta^2$. Here s^2 is the pooled estimate of the variance of the 2 populations sampled.

Example: Maximal oxygen capacity was measured for professional women athletes in 2 sports:

	basketball	speedskating	(units not given)
	42.3	46.1	
	42.9	52.0	
	49.6		

	N	Mean	StDev	SE Mean
basketball	3	44.93	4.05	2.3
speedskating	2	49.05	4.17	3.0

Difference = μ (basketball) - μ (speedskating) Estimate for difference: -4.12

95% CI for difference: (-16.01, 7.77)

2- Sample t-test of difference = 0 (vs not =): T-Value = -1.10 P-Value = 0.351 DF = 3

Both use Pooled StDev = 4.0927

The difference between basketball players and speedskaters is not significant for mean lung capacity. What sample size do we need to see a significant difference in average lung capacity between basketball players and speedskaters? We want to be able to detect a difference of at least $49.05 - 44.93 = 4.12$ units. Let $\Delta = 4$ and $s = 4.1$ (pooled StDev shown above). To be conservative, let $c = 2.5$. Then $n \geq 2c^2 s^2 / \Delta^2$ becomes: $n \geq 2 \times 2.5^2 \times 4.1^2 / 4^2 = 13.1$. So we need to plan on 14 or more athletes in each group.