# 16.513 Control Systems (Lecture note #6)

- Last Time: Linear algebra review
  - Linear algebraic equations, solutions
  - Parameterization of all solutions
  - Similarity transformation: companion form
  - Eigenvalues and eigenvectors, diagonal form

### A big picture: one branch of the course



There are more branches, mainly derived from linear algebra. 1

**Review:** A system of equations: Ax = y

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{11} & \mathbf{a}_{12} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{1n} \\ \mathbf{a}_{21} & \mathbf{a}_{22} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{m1} & \mathbf{a}_{m2} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$m \times n$$
  $n \times 1$   $m \times 1$   
the ith column of A be at i.e. A=[a, a\_2, a\_1] the ith column of A be at i.e. A=[a, a\_2, a\_1] the interval of A be at a baseline of A baseline of

Let the ith column of A be 
$$a_i$$
, i.e., A=[ $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ ], then

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

The existence of solution depends on the relationship between  $\rho(A)$  and  $\rho([A y])$ 

### Summary:

- If  $\rho(A) \neq \rho([A : y])$  (i.e.,  $y \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ ), then the equations are inconsistent, and there is no solution
- If  $\rho(A) = \rho([A : y])$ , then  $\exists$  at least one solution
  - If ρ(A) = ρ([A : y]) < n (i.e., ν(A) > 0), then there are infinite number of solutions
  - If ρ(A) = ρ([A : y]) = n (i.e., ν(A) = 0), then there is a unique solution
- For an n×n matrix, Ax = y has a unique solution  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ iff } A^{-1} \text{ exists, or } |A| \neq 0$

The ranks of matrices play an important role.

3

4

Eigenvalues, eigenvectors and diagonal form

A scalar  $\lambda$  is called an eigenvalue of  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  if  $\exists$  a nonzero  $x \in C^n$ , such that  $Ax = \lambda x$  and x is the eigenvector associated with  $\lambda$ .

Case 1: All eigenvalues are distinct

Theorem: the eigenvectors  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  are LI. Let  $Q=[v_1 v_2 ... v_n]$ , then

$$Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

On the other hand, if there exist a nonsingular Q and a diagonal matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

such that  $Q^{-1}AQ = \Lambda$ , then  $\lambda_i$ 's are the eigenvalues of A and the columns of Q are the eigenvectors:

$$Q^{-1}AQ = \Lambda \implies AQ = Q\Lambda \implies Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$$

 However, there are situations where there exists no such Q to make Q<sup>-1</sup>AQ a diagonal matrix.

5

6

• This case will be covered today.

Today: We are going to study

- Generalized eigenvectors, Jordan form
- Polynomial functions of a square matrix
- More general functions such as e<sup>At</sup>, (sI-A)<sup>-1</sup>

Tools for solving a state-space equation

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}; \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}$ 

Given x(0) and u(t), for  $t \ge 0$ , what is x(t) and y(t)?

• Next time, we will be able to do this.

### **Case 2: Eigenvalues with Multiplicity > 1**

- What may happen when the multiplicity of an eigenvalue is greater than 1?
  - The matrix may not be diagonalizable

Example.  

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta(\lambda) = |\lambda I - A| = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda(\lambda - 1)^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{1} = 0, \lambda_{2} = \lambda_{3} = 1$$

$$(\lambda_{1}I - A)v_{1} = 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} v_{1} = 0, \quad v_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

7

8

$$(\lambda_2 I - A)v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} v_2 = 0, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- What is  $v_3$ ? Recall  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ .
- We expect to have  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  LI  $\Rightarrow \{v_2, v_3\}$  LI
- However, from  $\rho(\lambda_2 I-A)=2 \implies \nu(\lambda_2 I-A)=3-2=1$ .
- What does this mean?
- The null space of  $\lambda_2$ I-A has dimension 1;
  - There doesn't exist LI  $\{v_2, v_3\}$  s.t.

 $(\lambda_2 I-A)v_2 = (\lambda_2 I-A)v_3 = 0$ 

- If we take  $v_3 = kv_2$ ,  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  are not LI, and cannot be used as a basis

- Have to think something different for  $v_2$  and  $v_3$
- We still choose  $v_2$  as the solution to  $(A-\lambda_2 I)v_2=0$
- For v<sub>3</sub>, suppose that it satisfies

$$(A - \lambda_2 I)^2 v_3 = 0$$
,  $(A - \lambda_2 I)v_3 \neq 0$  ~ Different from the previous  $v_2$ 

9

- Then  $(A-\lambda_2 I)(A-\lambda_2 I)v_3=0 \Rightarrow (A-\lambda_2 I)v_3=kv_2$  for some k
- And we can just choose  $v_2 = (A \lambda_2 I)v_3$
- Then  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  are LI (we just accept this).
- If we take Q=[v<sub>1</sub> v<sub>2</sub> v<sub>3</sub>], then Ā=Q<sup>-1</sup>AQ can't be diagonal. But what does it look like?

– We need to find  $\bar{A}$  such that AQ=QA. Observe that

$$Av_{1} = \lambda_{1}v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad V_{2} = \lambda_{2}v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda_{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
From  $(A - \lambda_{2}I)v_{2} = (A - \lambda_{2}I)^{2}v_{3} = 0$ 
We have  $(A - \lambda_{2}I)v_{3} = v_{2}$ 

$$Av_{3} = v_{2} + \lambda_{2}v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}] = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Not diagonal, but close

• For this particular example, how to get  $v_3$  such that

$$(A - \lambda_2 I)^2 v_3 = 0, \ (A - \lambda_2 I) v_3 \neq 0 \qquad (v_3 \neq v_2) \qquad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(A - \lambda_2 I)^2 v_3 = 0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_3 \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$$
$$v_2 = (A - \lambda_2 I) v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\overline{A} = Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \text{as expected}$$

- This example just show the complexity that may arise when we have repeated eigenvalues.
- To handle such a situation systematically, we need to define the generalized eigenvectors.

# **Definition.** A vector v is a generalized eigenvector of grade k associated with $\lambda$ if

$$(A - \lambda I)^{k} v = 0, \quad but (A - \lambda I)^{k-1} v \neq 0$$
Denote  $v_{k} \equiv v$ ,  
 $v_{k-1} \equiv (A - \lambda I)v = (A - \lambda I)v_{k},$   $Av_{k} = v_{k-1} + \lambda v_{k}$   
 $v_{k-2} \equiv (A - \lambda I)^{2} v = (A - \lambda I)v_{k-1},$   $Av_{k-1} = v_{k-2} + \lambda v_{k-1}$   
 $v_{1} \equiv (A - \lambda I)^{k-1} v = (A - \lambda I)v_{2},$   $Av_{2} = v_{1} + \lambda v_{2}$   
 $(A - \lambda I)v_{1} = (A - \lambda I)^{k} v = 0,$   $Av_{1} = \lambda v_{1}$   
- What is the new representation  
w.r.t.  $\{v_{1}, v_{2}, .., v_{k}\}$ ? i.e.,  
 $A[v_{1} v_{2} \dots v_{k}] \equiv [v_{1} v_{2} \dots v_{k}]\overline{A}$   $\overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & .. & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & .. & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & .. & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ 



Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Delta(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 6 & 4 \\ -9 & \lambda + 6 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$   $A - \lambda_1 I = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix}, \ \rho(A - \lambda_1 I) = 1, \ \nu(A - \lambda_1 I) = 2 - 1 = 1$ First pick v<sub>2</sub> such that  $(A - \lambda_1 I)^2 v_2 = 0$ , but  $(A - \lambda_1 I) v_2 \neq 0$ Need  $(A - \lambda_1 I)^2 v_2 = 0$ .  $(A - \lambda_1 I)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0$   $v_2 \text{ can be anything but } (A - \lambda_1 I) v_2 \neq 0!$ Pick  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } v_1 = (A - \lambda_1 I) v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$   $Q = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$   $\overline{A} = Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

An alternative approach:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Delta(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 6 & 4 \\ -9 & \lambda + 6 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2, \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  $A - \lambda_1 I = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix}, \ \rho(A - \lambda_1 I) = 1, \ \nu(A - \lambda_1 I) = 2 - 1 = 1$ 

Need to find  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  such that  $(A-\lambda_1I)v_1=0$  and  $(A-\lambda_1I)v_2=v_1$ You can also fine  $v_1$  first, then solve  $(A-\lambda_1)v_2=v_1$  to get  $v_2$ .

$$(A-\lambda_{1}I)v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix}v_{1}=0, \quad v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
From  $(A-\lambda_{1}I)v_{2} = v_{1} \implies \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix}v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_{2} \text{ not unique}$ 
$$v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1/3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\overline{A} = Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for any of the above } Q$$

Example: Find Jordan form for  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

It is easy to see that the eigenvalues of  $A_1$  are

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \qquad \lambda_3 = 0$$

Does the matrix have generalized eigenvector for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ ? Let us check the nullity of  $A_1 - \lambda_1 I$ 

$$A_{1} - \lambda_{1}I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad rank(A_{1} - \lambda_{1}I) = 2,$$
$$N(A_{1} - \lambda_{1}I) = 3 - 2 = 1$$

Cannot find two LI eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ 

Must have generalized eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ 

Need to find  $v_1, v_2$ , such that  $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_1 = 0,$   $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2 = v_1$   $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1,$   $\lambda_3 = 0$ Approach 1: Find  $v_2$  first, then let  $v_1 = (A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2$   $v_2$  should satisfy  $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)^2 v_2 = 0,$   $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2 \neq 0$   $v_2 \in N((A_1 - \lambda_1 I)^2),$   $v_2 \notin N(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)$   $A_1 - \lambda_1 I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$  Basis for the null space:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$  Basis for the null space:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Pick  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$  Then  $v_1 = (A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $Q = [v_1 & v_2 & v_3]$ For  $v_3, (A_1 - \lambda_3 I)v_3 = 0,$   $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \lambda_{1} = \lambda_{2} = 1, \qquad \lambda_{3} = 0$$
$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is  $\overline{A} = Q^{-1}AQ$ ?

Based on the property of generalized eigenvalue, must have

 $\bar{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Did I get everything right? Check if  $A_1Q = Q\bar{A}_1$ ?

$$A_{1}Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Q\bar{A}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Need to find  $v_1, v_2$ , such that  $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_1 = 0, (A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2 = v_1$ Approach 2: Find  $v_1$  first, then solve  $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2 = v_1$  for  $v_2$ .  $v_1$  should satisfy  $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_1 = 0$   $A_1 - \lambda_1 I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , Basis for the null space:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Let  $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $v_2$  needs to satisfy  $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I)v_2 = v_1$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for any x  $A_1 Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $Q\bar{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  =  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Theorem.** The generalized eigenvectors associated with a particular eigenvalue are LI

**Theorem.** The generalized eigenvectors associated with different eigenvalues are LI

- The eigenvectors and generalized eigenvectors span C<sup>n</sup>

- A good basis  $\sim \overline{A}$  is the Jordan Canonical Form

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline L_{11}(\lambda_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{12}(\lambda_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_{1k}(\lambda_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{21}(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{22}(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{mp}(\lambda_m) \\ \hline \\ L_{ik} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda \end{bmatrix} & \begin{array}{c} \Delta(\lambda) = |\lambda I - A| = \prod (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i} \\ i & & i \end{array}$$

For the same eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ , it may have more than one Jordan blocks such as

$\lceil \lambda_1 \rceil$	0	0	0	0	0
0	$\lambda_1$	1	0	0	0
0	0	$\lambda_1$	0	0	0
0	0	0	$\lambda_1$	1	0
0	0	0	0	$\lambda_1$	1
0	0	0	0	0	$\lambda_1$

- Another case:
  - A matrix with repeated eigenvalues could still be diagonalizable

21

Example.  

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Delta(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda - 2 \end{bmatrix} = (\lambda - 1)^{2} (\lambda - 2)$$

$$\lambda = 1, 1, 2.$$

$$\lambda = 1: \quad (\lambda_{i}I - A) v_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_{i} = 0 \implies v_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sim 2 \text{ LI eigenvectors! } \textcircled{O}$$

$$\lambda = 2: \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} v = 0 \implies v_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- A is diagonalizable even with repeated eigenvalues.

In summary, we have the following cases:

- All eigenvalues of A are distinct  $\Rightarrow$  diagonalizable
- There are repeated eigenvalues,
  - e.g.,  $\lambda_i$  with multiplicity k.
  - If ν(A-λ<sub>i</sub> I)= n ρ(A-λ<sub>i</sub> I)=k, there exist k LI solutions to (A-λ<sub>i</sub> I)v=0 and they are all eigenvectors. If this is the case for all repeated eigenvalues
    - $\Rightarrow$  diagonalizable
  - If ν(A-λ<sub>i</sub> I)=n ρ(A-λ<sub>i</sub> I) < k, there exist generalized eigenvectors,
     ⇒ not diagonalizable, there exist Jordan blocks

23

**Today:** Linear algebra (continued)

- Generalized eigenvectors, Jordan form
- > Polynomial functions of a square matrix
- Exponential function of a square matrix



# **Functions of a Square Matrix**

Polynomials of a Square Matrix

Example. 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
What is A<sup>1</sup>? A<sup>2</sup>? A<sup>3</sup>? A<sup>0</sup>?  $A^{1} = A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  
 $A^{2} = A \cdot A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 12 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $A^{3} = A \cdot A \cdot A = A \cdot A^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 12 & 13 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 13 \\ -39 & -40 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $A^{0} = I$ 

-	~	
2	5	

- In general, suppose A: 
$$C^n \rightarrow C^n$$
  
•  $A^1 = A, A^2 = A \cdot A, A^3 = A \cdot A \cdot A$   
•  $A^k = A \cdot A \cdots A$ , k terms,  $k \ge 1$   
•  $A^0 = I$   
- Let  $f(\lambda)$  be a polynomial, e.g.,  
 $f(\lambda) = 5\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 + 7\lambda - 2$   
What is  $f(A)$ ?  
-  $f(A) = 5A^3 + 4A^2 + 7A - 2A^0$   
 $= 5\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 13 \\ -39 & -40 \end{bmatrix} + 4\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 12 & 13 \end{bmatrix} + 7\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix} - 2I$   
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 46 & 56 \\ -168 & -178 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- Is there an easier way to compute f(A)?
- Would the process be easier for a diagonal or block diagonal matrix? How to proceed?

$$A = Q\overline{A}Q^{-1}, \quad A^{2} = (Q\overline{A}Q^{-1})(Q\overline{A}Q^{-1}) = Q\overline{A}^{2}Q^{-1}$$

$$A^{3} = (Q\overline{A}Q^{-1})^{2} (Q\overline{A}Q^{-1}) = (Q\overline{A}^{2}Q^{-1})(Q\overline{A}Q^{-1}) = Q\overline{A}^{3}Q^{-1}$$

$$A^{k} = Q\overline{A}^{k}Q^{-1}$$

$$f(A) = 5A^{3} + 4A^{2} + 7A - 2A^{0}$$

$$= 5Q\overline{A}^{3}Q^{-1} + 4Q\overline{A}^{2}Q^{-1} + 7Q\overline{A}Q^{-1} - 2I$$

$$= Q(5\overline{A}^{3} + 4\overline{A}^{2} + 7\overline{A} - 2I)Q^{-1} = -Qf(\overline{A})Q^{-1}$$

2	7
4	'

Example (Continued) 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -3 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
 $\lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = -3, \quad \overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$   
 $Q = (v_1 \quad v_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q^{-1} = \frac{-1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $f(A) = Q(5\overline{A}^3 + 4\overline{A}^2 + 7\overline{A} - 2I)Q^{-1}$   
 $= Q\left\{5\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -27 \end{bmatrix} + 4\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} + 7\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} - 2I\right\}Q^{-1}$   
 $= \frac{-1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 0 \\ 0 & -122 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 46 & 56 \\ -168 & -178 \end{bmatrix}$   
*c* as expected

• In general,

$$f(A) = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} A^{i} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} (Q\overline{A}Q^{-1})^{i} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} Q\overline{A}^{i}Q^{-1}$$
$$= Q\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}\overline{A}^{i}\right)Q^{-1} = Qf(\overline{A})Q^{-1}$$

- Advantages to use diagonal or Jordan canonical form?

If 
$$\overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\overline{A}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A}_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\overline{A}^k = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}_1^k & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A}_2^k \end{bmatrix}$   
$$f(\overline{A}) = \sum_i \alpha_i \overline{A}^i = \sum_i \alpha_i \begin{bmatrix} \overline{A}_1^i & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A}_2^i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\overline{A}_1) & 0 \\ 0 & f(\overline{A}_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

29

# **Cayley Hamilton Theorem**

$$\Delta(\lambda) = |\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}| = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{n_i} = \lambda^n + \alpha_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n$$
$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_i \mathbf{I})^{n_i} = \mathbf{A}^n + \alpha_1 \mathbf{A}^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{I}$$

- There is something special about  $\Delta(A)$ .
- First consider a diagonalizable A.

$$\Delta(A) = Q\Delta(\overline{A})Q^{-1} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \Delta(\lambda_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta(\lambda_n) \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} = \mathbf{0}$$

This is true even if A has Jordan blocks.

Cayley-Hamilton Theorem: 
$$\Delta(A) = 0$$

We only need to consider a Jordan block. For example,

$$\overline{A}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{i} & 1 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_{i} & 1\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{i} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (\overline{A}_{i} - \lambda_{i}I) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$
$$(\overline{A}_{i} - \lambda_{i}I)^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \qquad (\overline{A}_{i} - \lambda_{i}I)^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

For a  $n_i \times n_i$  Jordan block  $\overline{A}_i$ ,  $(\overline{A}_i - \lambda_i I)^n = 0$ Note  $\Delta(\overline{A}_i) = \prod_j (\overline{A}_i - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}$  contains the term  $(\overline{A}_i - \lambda_i I)^{n_i}$ 

$$\Delta(A) = Q\Delta(\overline{A})Q^{-1} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \Delta(\overline{A}_{1}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta(\overline{A}_{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta(\overline{A}_{n}) \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} = 0$$

2	1
٦	
~	•

In summary:

Let  $\Delta(\lambda) = |\lambda I - A| = \prod_{i} (\lambda - \lambda_{i})^{n_{i}}, \quad \Delta(A) = \prod_{i} (A - \lambda_{i}I)^{n_{i}},$ Have  $\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda^{n} + \alpha_{1}\lambda^{n-1} + \alpha_{2}\lambda^{n-2} + ... + \alpha_{n},$  $\Delta(A) = A^{n} + \alpha_{1}A^{n-1} + \alpha_{2}A^{n-2} + ... + \alpha_{n}I,$ 

Conclusion:  $\Delta(A)=0$ 

Implication:

$$A^{n} = -\alpha_{1}A^{n-1} - \alpha_{2}A^{n-2} - \dots - \alpha_{n}I,$$

- A<sup>n</sup> can be expressed as linear combination of I, A,A<sup>2</sup>, ...A<sup>n-1</sup>.
- Inductively, A<sup>k</sup> can be expressed as linear combination of these terms for all integer k
- Furthermore, all polynomials of A can be expressed so.  $\frac{32}{32}$

- Any polynomial of a square matrix can be expressed as a polynomial of the same matrix of degree n-1
- If there is a polynomial  $\psi(\lambda)$  of degree m < n such that  $\psi(A) = 0$ , then any polynomial can be expressed as a polynomial of degree m-1
- The minimal polynomial  $\psi(\lambda)$  of A is the monic polynomial (with highest power coefficient = 1) of least degree such that  $\psi(A) = 0$

33

Example: Motivation for a general problem.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, f(\lambda) = \lambda^{85}. \text{ Find } f(A) = A^{85}$$

- How to solve this problem?

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 2 & -3 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 \end{bmatrix} = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)$$

- We should be able to represent f(A) as

 $A^{85} = \beta_0 I + \beta_1 A = g(A)$ 

 $\sim$  Much easier to compute

- What is  $\beta_0$ ?  $\beta_1$ ? How to obtain them?
- A general problem: Find g(A) that is equivalent to f(A) but simpler to evaluate

-Under what conditions would f(A) = g(A)?

**Theorem.** Given  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  and a polynomial  $f(\lambda)$ . Let the distinct eigenvalues of A be  $\lambda_i$ , i=1,2,...,m, each with multiplicity  $n_i$ ,  $(n_1+n_2+...+n_m=n)$ . Let

$$g(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda + \dots + \beta_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1}$$

Then f(A)=g(A) iff

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}^{(l)}(\lambda_{i}) &= \mathbf{g}^{(l)}(\lambda_{i}), \, l = 0, \, 1, \, ..., \mathbf{n_{i}} - 1, \, i = 1, \, ..., \, m \\ \text{where} \left. \mathbf{f}^{(l)}(\lambda_{i}) &= \frac{\mathbf{d}^{(l)}\mathbf{f}(\lambda)}{\mathbf{d}\lambda^{l}} \right|_{\lambda = \lambda_{i}}, \quad \mathbf{f}^{(0)}(\lambda_{i}) = \mathbf{f}(\lambda_{i}) \end{aligned}$ 

Under the above condition, the coefficients  $\beta_i$ 's can be determined 35

**Definition.** { $f^{(l)}(\lambda_i)$ ,  $l = 0, 1, ..., n_i - 1, i = 1, ..., m$ } are called the values of f on the spectrum of A

 Any two polynomials having the same values on the spectrum of A define the same matrix function

# Example (continued)

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^{85}. \text{ Find } f(A) = A^{85} \text{ for } A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 2 & -3 \\ 0 & \lambda - 1 \end{bmatrix} = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1), \ \lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 1$$
$$f^{(0)}(\lambda_1) = \lambda_1^{85} = 2^{85}, \quad f^{(0)}(\lambda_2) = \lambda_2^{85} = 1^{85} = 1$$

- What is a good  $g(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda$ ?  $g^{(0)}(\lambda_1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda_1 = \beta_0 + 2\beta_1$  $g^{(0)}(\lambda_2) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1$ 

f and g having the same values on the spectrum of A requires

$$g^{(0)}(\lambda_{1}) = f^{(0)}(\lambda_{1}) \Rightarrow \beta_{0} + 2\beta_{1} = 2^{85}$$
  

$$g^{(0)}(\lambda_{2}) = f^{(0)}(\lambda_{2}) \Rightarrow \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} = 1$$
  

$$\beta_{1} = 2^{85} - 1, \beta_{0} = 2 - 2^{85} \Rightarrow g(\lambda) = (2 - 2^{85}) + (2^{85} - 1)\lambda_{37}$$

$$g(A) = (2 - 2^{85}) \cdot I + (2^{85} - 1)A$$
  
=  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 - 2^{85} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 - 2^{85} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2(2^{85} - 1) & 3(2^{85} - 1) \\ 0 & (2^{85} - 1) \end{bmatrix}$   
=  $\begin{bmatrix} 2^{85} & 3(2^{85} - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.86856 \times 10^{25} & 1.16057 \times 10^{26} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Example: Compute A<sup>100</sup> for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

In other words: Given  $f(\lambda) = \lambda^{100}$ , find f(A). First,  $\Delta(\lambda) = (\lambda+1)^2$ A has one distinct eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = -1$  with multiplicity 2. Let  $g(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda$ , on the spectrum of A, have

$$f(-1) = g(-1) \Rightarrow (-1)^{100} = \beta_0 - \beta_1; \qquad f'(\lambda) = 100\lambda^{99}$$
  
$$f'(-1) = g'(-1) \Rightarrow 100(-1)^{99} = \beta_1 \qquad g'(\beta) = \beta_1$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 = -100, \ \beta_0 = 1 + \beta_1 = -99 \Rightarrow g(\lambda) = -99 - 100\lambda$$

$$A^{100} = f(A) = g(A) = \beta_0 I + \beta_1 A$$
$$A^{100} = \beta_0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \beta_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -199 & -100 \\ 100 & 101 \end{bmatrix}$$

	0
٦	9
~	-

Example: Compute  $A^k$ ,  $k \ge 3$  for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

A has eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with multiplicity 3. Consider  $f(\lambda) = \lambda^k$ ,  $g(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda + \beta_2 \lambda^2$ 

$$\begin{split} f(1) &= g(1) \Longrightarrow (1)^{k} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}; \\ f'(1) &= g'(1) \Longrightarrow k = \beta_{1} + 2\beta_{2}; \\ f''(1) &= g''(1) \implies k(k-1) = 2\beta_{2} \end{split}$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}, \ \beta_1 = k - 2 \times \frac{k(k-1)}{2} = 2k - k^2;$$
  

$$\beta_0 = 0.5k^2 - 1.5k + 1$$
  

$$\Rightarrow g(\lambda) = (0.5k^2 - 1.5k + 1) + (2k - k^2)\lambda + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\lambda^2$$

$$g(\lambda) = (0.5k^{2} - 1.5k + 1) + (2k - k^{2})\lambda + \frac{k(k - 1)}{2}\lambda^{2}$$

$$g(A) = (0.5k^{2} - 1.5k + 1)I + (2k - k^{2})A + \frac{k(k - 1)}{2}A^{2}$$

$$A^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g(A) = (0.5k^{2} - 1.5k + 1)I + (2k - k^{2})\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{k(k - 1)}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k & k(k - 1)/2 \\ 0 & 1 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{k} = f(A) = g(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k & k(k - 1)/2 \\ 0 & 1 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$41$$

Example: Compute 
$$A^k$$
 for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

# **General Functions of a Square Matrix**

- Polynomials of a square matrix are naturally defined. How about non-polynomial functions?
- Suppose  $f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda}$ , sin  $\lambda$ , or  $1/(s \lambda)$ . What is f(A)?
- Two definitions
  - By means of a polynomial  $g(\lambda)$  having the same values on the spectrum of A
  - By Taylor expansion
- These two turn out to be equivalent.
- We will have a lot of discussions on f(A)=e<sup>At</sup>.
   The solution of a LTI system relies on this function.

43

44

**Definition:** Given  $A \in C^{n \times n}$ . Let the distinct eigenvalues of A be  $\lambda_i$ , i=1,2,...,m, each with multiplicity  $n_i$ ,  $(n_1+n_2+...+n_m=n)$ . Let  $f(\lambda)$  be a general function with  $\{f^{(l)}(\lambda_i)\}$  well defined. Suppose that  $g(\lambda)$  is a polynomial satisfying

 $f^{(l)}(\lambda_i) = g^{(l)}(\lambda_i), l = 0, 1, ..., n_i - 1, i = 1, ..., m$ 

Then  $f(A) \equiv g(A)$ .

Generally, g is a polynomial of degree n-1.

Example: 
$$f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t}$$
. Find  $f(A) = e^{At}$  with  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$   

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 2 \\ -1 & \lambda - 4 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)$$

$$\lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 3$$
 $f^{(0)}(\lambda_1) = e^{2t}, f^{(0)}(\lambda_2) = e^{3t}$ 

-

$$- \text{ Now let } g(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \lambda$$

$$g^{(0)}(\lambda_1) = \beta_0 + 2\beta_1 = e^{2t} \quad (=f^{(0)}(\lambda_1))$$

$$g^{(0)}(\lambda_2) = \beta_0 + 3\beta_1 = e^{3t} \quad (=f^{(0)}(\lambda_2))$$

$$\beta_1 = e^{3t} - e^{2t}, \beta_0 = e^{2t} - 2\beta_1 = 3e^{2t} - 2e^{3t}$$

$$- g(\lambda) = (3e^{2t} - 2e^{3t}) + (-e^{2t} + e^{3t})\lambda$$

$$f(A) = g(A) = (3e^{2t} - 2e^{3t})I + (-e^{2t} + e^{3t})A$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (3e^{2t} - 2e^{3t}) + (-e^{2t} + e^{3t}) & -2(-e^{2t} + e^{3t}) \\ (-e^{2t} + e^{3t}) & (3e^{2t} - 2e^{3t}) + 4(-e^{2t} + e^{3t}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{2t} - e^{3t} & 2e^{2t} - 2e^{3t} \\ -e^{2t} + e^{3t} & -e^{2t} + 2e^{3t} \end{bmatrix} = e^{At}$$

45

• Steps to calculate f(A) given  $f(\lambda)$  and A:

– Form  $\Delta(\lambda)$ , and find  $\{\lambda_i\}$  and  $f^{(l)}(\lambda_i)$ 

- Construct an  $(n - 1)^{\text{th}}$  order polynomial  $g(\lambda)$  such that  $g^{(l)}(\lambda_i) = f^{(l)}(\lambda_i)$  for all i and l- f(A) = g(A)

**Definition 2.** Let  $f(\lambda) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \lambda^i$  with the radius of convergence  $\rho$ . Then  $f(A) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i A^i$ if  $|\lambda_j| < \rho$  for all j.

• It can be shown that Definitions 1 and 2 are equivalent

**Example.** Find e<sup>At</sup> for a diagonal A and for A in Jordan canonical form

$f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k t^k}{k!}$	$f(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^k}{k!}$
$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix},$	$A^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{k} & & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix}$
$f(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \frac{\lambda_l^k t^k}{k!} & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & \dots & \Sigma \frac{\lambda_n^k t^k}{k!} \end{bmatrix} =$	$\begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{bmatrix}$

47

• Now suppose that A is a Jordan block. Find  $e^{At}$   $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{1} \end{bmatrix} f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t}, \qquad Derivative with respect to <math>\lambda$ , not t.  $f^{(0)}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t}, \quad f^{(1)}(\lambda) = te^{\lambda t}, \qquad f^{(2)}(\lambda) = t^{2}e^{\lambda t}, \quad f^{(3)}(\lambda) = t^{3}e^{\lambda t}.$   $-\Delta(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_{1})^{4}, \text{ with } \lambda_{1} \text{ of multiplicity } 4$   $f^{(0)}(\lambda_{1}) = e^{\lambda_{1}t}, \qquad f^{(1)}(\lambda_{1}) = te^{\lambda_{1}t}$   $f^{(2)}(\lambda_{1}) = t^{2}e^{\lambda_{1}t}, \qquad f^{(3)}(\lambda_{1}) = t^{3}e^{\lambda_{1}t}$   $-g(\lambda) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}(\lambda - \lambda_{1}) + \beta_{2}(\lambda - \lambda_{1})^{2} + \beta_{3}(\lambda - \lambda_{1})^{3}$   $g^{(0)}(\lambda_{1}) = \beta_{0} = e^{\lambda_{1}t} \quad (=f^{(0)}(\lambda_{1})),$   $g^{(1)}(\lambda_{1}) = \beta_{1} = te^{\lambda_{1}t} \quad (=f^{(1)}(\lambda_{1})),$   $g^{(2)}(\lambda_{1}) = 2\beta_{2} = t^{2}e^{\lambda_{1}t} \quad (=f^{(2)}(\lambda_{1})),$   $g^{(3)}(\lambda_{1}) = 6\beta_{3} = t^{3}e^{\lambda_{1}t} \quad (=f^{(3)}(\lambda_{1})).$ 

Components:  $t^k e^{\lambda_1 t}$ ,  $0 \le k \le n-1$ 

• For lower order submatrices of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$e^{At} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} & t^{2}e^{\lambda t}/2! & t^{3}e^{\lambda t}/3! \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} & t^{2}e^{\lambda t}/2! \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{bmatrix}$$

• For higher order matrices, you can extend from the pattern

• For matrices in Jordan canonical form

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{A}}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \overline{\mathbf{A}}_2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{e}^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{t}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_1\mathbf{t}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}^{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_2\mathbf{t}} \end{bmatrix}$$

• For a general matrix A:

$$A = Q\overline{A}Q^{-1}$$
$$f(A) = f(Q\overline{A}Q^{-1}) = Qf(\overline{A})Q^{-1}$$
$$e^{At} = Qe^{\overline{A}t}Q^{-1}$$

> The similar transformation makes things easier.

5	1
2	1

Example: Compute 
$$e^{At}$$
 for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 1), \ \lambda_1 = -1, \ \lambda_2 = 0, \ \lambda_3 = 2$   
Approach 1: through the diagonal form.  
 $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ Q^{-1} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \overline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $e^{At} = Qe^{\overline{A}t}Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{2t} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} & 1 & e^{2t} \\ -e^{-t} & 0 & 2e^{2t} \\ e^{-t} & -1 & e^{2t} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $= \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{-t} + 3 + e^{2t} & -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} & 2e^{-t} - 3 + e^{2t} \\ -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} & 2e^{-t} + 4e^{2t} & -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} \\ 2e^{-t} - 3 + e^{2t} & -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} & 2e^{-t} + 3 + e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 1), \ \lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = 2$$

Approach 2: through the values of  $f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t}$  at the spectrum of A.

Let 
$$g(\lambda) = a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c$$
,  
 $g(\lambda_1) = a(-1)^2 + b(-1) + c = e^{\lambda_1 t} \implies a - b + c = e^{-t}$   
 $g(\lambda_2) = a(0)^2 + b(0) + c = e^{\lambda_2 t} \implies c = 1$   
 $g(\lambda_3) = a(2)^2 + a(2) + c = e^{\lambda_3 t} \implies 4a + 2b + c = e^{2t}$   
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $e^{At} = \frac{(2e^{-t} - 3 + e^{2t})}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{(-4e^{-t} + 3 + e^{2t})}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $= \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{-t} + 3 + e^{2t} & -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} & 2e^{-t} - 3 + e^{2t} \\ -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} & 2e^{-t} + 4e^{2t} & -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} \\ 2e^{-t} - 3 + e^{2t} & -2e^{-t} + 2e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Next Time:

- Properties of e<sup>At</sup>;
- Solution to a continuous-time system

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu; \quad y = Cx + Du$ 

• Solution to the discrete-time system

x[k+1] = A[k] + Bu[k]; y[k] = Cx[k] + Du[k]

- Equivalent state equations
- Dealing with complex eigenvalues

#### Homework set #6

1. Find Jordan-form representations Ā and transformation matrix Q for the following matrices:

	-1	5	1	[1	2	2		[1	1	-1	
$A_1 =$	0	-3	0,	$A_2 =  -1 $	-3	0	, A <sub>3</sub> =	2	0	-1	
	-1	2	1	<b>_</b> -1	-1	-2		2	-1	0	

- 2. Consider the matrices in Problem 1. Find  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, i = 1,2,3$ such that  $A_i^k = \alpha_i + \beta_i A_i + \gamma_i A_i^2$
- 3. Let f(A) be a polynomial. Suppose that v is an eigenvector of A with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , show that v is also an eigenvector of f(A) with corresponding eigenvalue  $f(\lambda)$ .

Note: Show the detailed procedure.

55

4. Compute e<sup>At</sup> for the following matrices:

	<b>[</b> 2 1]	[−2	0	-2]
$A_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix};$	$A_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{vmatrix};$	$A_3 = 2$	2	1
		2	0	3