Self-assembly and Nanotechnology 10.524

Lecture 3. Self-assembled Monolayers (SAM)

Instructor: Prof. Zhiyong Gu (Chemical Engineering & UML CHN/NCOE Nanomanufacturing Center)



Table of Contents

- Definition of SAMs
- Structures & Properties
- Applications, including SAMs for nanofabrication and nanotechnology
- Case study: micro-contact printing



Self-Assembled Monolayers



Figure. Number of published articles dealing with self-assembled monolayers per year, according to searches in the Chemical Abstracts and Science Citation Index databases



Definition of monolayers



"SAMs are ordered molecular assemblies formed by the adsorption of an active surfactant on a solid surface" (or other surfaces such as liquid)

Abraham Ulman, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 1533-1554



Schematic of monolayers



Fig. 2. (a) Schematic of SAM. Shaded circle indicates chemisorbing headgroup and open circle endgroup, which can be chosen from variety of chemical functionalities. (b) Schematic of different energies. ΔE_{ach} stands for adsorption energy, ΔE_{com} corrugation of substrate potential experienced by molecule, ΔE_{hvd} van der Waals interaction of (hydrocarbon) tails, and ΔE_8 energy of gauche defect (or, generally, deviation from fully stretched backbone).

F. Schreiber, Progress in Surface Science 2000, 65, 151-256



free molecule

distance from surface

7777

Preparation of monolayers

- <u>Langmuir Films</u> amphiphilic molecules at liquid/gas interface
- <u>Langmuir-Blodgett Films</u> Langmuir films transferred onto solid substrate
- <u>Self-Assembled Monolayers</u> grown from solution



 <u>Self-Assembled Monolayers</u> grown from vapor



 <u>Organic Molecular Beam Epitaxy</u> similar to inorganic MBE



F. Schreiber, Progress in Surface Science 2000, 65, 151-256



From solution: immersion technique



Abraham Ulman, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1533-1554



Some frequently used monolayers

(1) HS
$$\begin{array}{c} CH_2 \\ CH$$

(2) HS $\begin{array}{c} CH_2 \\ CH$

- (3) HS CH_2 CH_2
- (4) HS CH₂ OH
- (5) HS CH₃
- $(6) \xrightarrow{H_3C} \xrightarrow{CH_2} \xrightarrow{CH_2}$
- (7) $CI_3 Si \xrightarrow{CH_2} CH_2 \xrightarrow{CH_2} CH_2$

F. Schreiber, Progress in Surface Science 2000, 65, 151-256



Combinations of Headgroups and Substrates Used in Forming SAMs on Metals, Oxides, and Semiconductors

		Marphology of Salvaroate ThinFilms or Nanoparticles or				Marphalogy of Salateste	
						Thin Films or	Naroparticles or
Ligand	Substrates	Bulk Material	Other Nanostructures	Ligand	Substrates	Bulk Material	Other Nanostructures
ROH	Fe ₆ O ₇		35	RSSR'	Ag	89	90
	S-H	36			Au	20	90-92
	8	31			CdS		61
RCOO-/RCOOH	e-Al _i O ₁	38,39			Pd	30	
	Fe ₈ O ₇		40		Au	91	
	N		41,42	. <u>*</u> s	764	30	
	Ti/TiO ₂	43		R=\			
RCOO-OOCR	S(111):H	44					
	S(100):H			RCSSH	Au	94	
Enc.diol	In O		45		CdSe		95
East-Gios	10205	12	*2	ne o nut	tu.	24	
RNH ₃	heS ₂	40		RS2O3 NR	Au Cu	96	98
	Mica	47			C.U	90	
	Stainless Steel 316L	48		RSeH	Az	99	
	YBa/Cu/O>8	49			Au	100.101	
	1.854		60		CdS	100,101	60
	vase		56		Ciffse		102
RC=N	1g	51		BC-C-B1	h	1.01	
	70			RSeSeR	Au	101	
R-N=N'(BF ₄ ')	GaAs(100)	52					
	Fd	52		R ₀ P	Au	<i>12</i>	103
	S(11)/H	52			res ₂	46	104
RSH	/g	26	53,54		OBr		104
	/g _{so} Ni _{ja}	55			CdTe		104
	AgS		56	R-2=0	Co		105.106
	Au	26	57	Kpr V	OB		104
	/uAg		58		CdSe		104
	AuCu		58		CdTe		104
	Au_Pd _{1m}		58	RPO, ³ /RP(O)(0H);	Al	107	
	CdTe		59		AI-OH	106	
	CdSe		60		Cate(POa,COa)(OH);	109	
	Cas		61.62		GaAs	110	
	Cu	26	58		GaN	110	
	FePt		63-66		Indium tin oxide	111	
	GaAs	67			(TTO)		
	Ge	05			Mica	112	
	Hg	69-71			TiO ₂	113,114	
	HgTe		72		ZrO ₂	114,115	
	hP	73			CdSe		116-118
	1 I		74		CdTe		118.119
	N	75					
	lbS		76-78	RPO ₆ ²²	Al ₂ O ₃	120	
	Ы	30	74.79		Nb ₂ O ₃	120	
	Idao		58		Tio.	120 122	
	н	44	20		1103	120,122	
	in la		81	RN=C	Pt	123	124
	Stainless Steel 3161	48		RHC=CH ₂	Si	37	
	VBaCo.O5	97		RC=CH	Si(111):H	125	
	and a million of the second se	47		8.2751	1140	18-2	
	2.0	a.		KSiX ₁	HIO ₂	126	
	2150	24	or.	A = H, CI, OCH CH.			
	205		83	ocn ₂ cn ₃	ITO	127	
RSAc	/u	86			Pr0	128	
5	7.0		87		1.02	1.40	
к					TIO	113,120,129	
RSR	/u	88			2001	126,129	

Self-assembly and Nanotechnology Love et al., Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1103-1169



Surface-active organosulfur compounds on gold



Abraham Ulman, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1533-1554



Chain tilting in SAMs of thiolates on Au(111)



Figure 11. A view of the tilt in a nine-molecule section of a fully covered $SC_{16}H_{33}$ monolayer on Au(111) minimized with a modified MM2 force field including the sp³ chemisorption parameters (left, calculated stabilization energy -23.29 kcal mol⁻¹) and with the sp chemisorption parameters (right, calculated stabilization energy -22.25 kcal mol⁻¹). The gold atoms are shown in light gray having their full van der Waals radii. The sulfur atoms are shown in dark gray and are not drawn to scale.

• This tilt is a result of the chains reestablishing VDW contact in an assembly with 5 Å S ••• S distance, larger than the distance of 4.6 Å, usually quoted for perpendicular alkyl chains in a close packed layer.

Abraham Ulman, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1533-1554



Self-assembled Multilayers



Construction of self-assembled multilayers



Defects in Self-assembled Monolayers (SAMs)



Schematic illustration of some of the intrinsic and extrinsic defects found in SAMs formed on polycrystalline substrates. The dark line at the metalsulfur interface is a visual guide for the reader and indicates the changing topography of the substrate itself.

Love et al., Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1103-1169



Scanning Probe Lithography Nanofabrication of SAMs



Schematic diagrams of four basic manipulation mechanisms using AFM (A and B) and STM (C and D). The imaging and fabrication modes are depicted in the top and bottom rows, respectively.

Liu, Xu, Qian. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 457-466



Scanning Probe Lithography Nanofabrication of SAMs



(A) 160-160 nm2 topographic images of C18S/Au(111) with the thiols shaved away from the central 50-50 nm2 square.
(B) 160-160 nm2 topographic images of OTE/mica containing a heart-shaped pattern produced using nanoshaving.

- (A) Fabrication of two C18S nanoislands
 (3 5 and 50-50 nm2) in the matrix of a C10S monolayer using nanografting.
- (C) Fabrication of multicomponent patterns using nanografting.



nanufacturing center at

Liu, Xu, Qian. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 457-466

Sensing and Proteins Binding on SAMs-modified Electrodes

Electrochemical sensing by SAMs modified electrodes



Cyclic voltammogram of Au electrode modified with 17-mer peptide in the absence and presence of cAMP Electrochemical studies of proteins on SAMs-modified electrodes



history-messages anyt estern

Reversible formation of a protein triple layer on SAM using biotinylated alkylthiols

Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.



Self Assembled Monolayers(SAMs) in MEMS Devices

Common Failure Mechanisms in MEMS

- Fracture
- Creep
- Stiction
- Electromigration
- Wear
- Degradation of dielectrics
- Delamination
- Contamination
- Pitting of contacting surfaces
- Electrostatic discharge (ESD)

Dr. Lior Kogut, University of Western Ontario





Advnatages of SAMs in MEMS

- (a) Eliminate release stiction by effectively reversing the shape of the water meniscus;
- (b) Reduce in-use stiction by three to four orders of magnitude with respect to the conventional oxidized release process;
- (c) Eliminate the need for large input signals or mechanical probing in the start-up phase in microengines;
- (d) Reduce friction in microengines static friction value of 0.08 vs. 2.3 for oxide-coated surfaces;
- (e) Reduce wear significantly over 40 million operation cycles have been achieved in touch-mode electrostatic actuators;
- (f) Survive packaging environments thermally stable to 4000C in various, including oxygen containing, environments.

Dr. Lior Kogut, University of Western Ontario



Self Assembled Monolayers(SAMs) in MEMS Devices



Dr. Lior Kogut, University of Western Ontario



Self Assembled Monolayers (SAMs) in MEMS Devices

AFM images and roughness data of Silicon nitride surface



	Without SAM Coating	With SAM Coating
Roughness rms	0.542 nm	0.671 nm
Roughness peak to peak	5.274 nm	6.027 nm

Dr. Lior Kogut, University of Western Ontario



Case Study: Microcontact printing (µCP)

Schematic of microcontact printing (µCP)



Kumar, Whitesides, Appl. Phys. Lett. 1993, 63, 2002



Case Study: Microstructures fabricated using μCP



Gold structures generated by pCP and etching



Etched silicon structure produced through anisotropic etching of silicon (in KOW2-propanol) using a mask of gold produced through pCP and etching in a solution of cyanide ion.

Self-assembly and Nanotechnology





AFM image of a series of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic lines and an electron micrograph of a corresponding region.

Kumar et al., Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 219-226



Case Study: Microstructures fabricated using μCP

Gold bands (3 µm) on an optical fiber

Copper coils (50 µm) on glass capillary





50 μm

Free-standing silver mesh cylinder (~2 mm diameter)

