



The slick way to do part (b): The paths that we *don't* want to count — those that are unusable because they use the easterly block that is submerged — are those that go from the lower left (Home) to the **35**, go east to the **21**, and then go to the upper right (Work). The number of such paths is  $\binom{4+3}{3}\binom{4+5}{5}$  (where  $\binom{4+3}{3}$  counts the paths that start at the lower left and then go 4 blocks east and 3 blocks north to arrive at the **35**, and  $\binom{4+5}{5}$  counts the paths that start at the **21** and then go 4 blocks east and 5 blocks north to arrive at the upper right). So the number of bad paths is  $\binom{7}{3}\binom{9}{5} = (35)(126) = 4410$  and the number of good paths is  $24310 - 4410 = 19900$ .

B. *Chapter 3, problem 40.*

(a) We can see directly that this is  $C(n, k)$ . Or, we can associate each way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks with a  $(k + 1)$ -tuple  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  where  $x_0$  is the number non-chosen stick to the left of the first chosen stick,  $x_k$  is the number non-chosen stick to the right of the last chosen stick, and every other  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ ) is the number of non-chosen sticks between the  $i$ th and  $i + 1$ st chosen sticks. This gives a  $(k + 1)$ -tuple of non-negative integers with sum  $n - k$ , and every such  $(k + 1)$ -tuple arises in this way from a unique way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks. So the number of ways of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks equals the number of  $(k + 1)$ -tuples  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  of non-negative integers with  $x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_k = n - k$ . Putting  $n' = k + 1$  and  $r' = n - k$ , and applying what we already know about counting sequences of non-negative integers with a prescribed sum, we see that the number of such  $(k + 1)$ -tuples is  $C(n' + r' - 1, n' - 1) = C(n, k)$ .

(b) Associate each way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks with a  $(k + 1)$ -tuple  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  where  $x_0$  is the number non-chosen stick to the left of the first chosen stick,  $x_k$  is the number non-chosen stick to the right of the last chosen stick, and every other  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ ) is the number of non-chosen sticks between the  $i$ th and  $i + 1$ st chosen sticks. Saying that no two of the chosen sticks can be consecutive is equivalent to saying that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}$  are all positive. Defining  $y_0 = x_0$  and  $y_k = x_k$  and  $y_i = x_i - 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , we obtain a  $(k + 1)$ -tuple  $(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k)$  of non-negative integers with sum  $n - k - (k - 1) = n - 2k + 1$ , and every such  $(k + 1)$ -tuple arises in this

way from a unique way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks with no two of the chosen sticks being consecutive. Putting  $n' = k + 1$  and  $r' = n - 2k + 1$ , and applying what we already know about counting sequences of non-negative integers with a prescribed sum, we see that the number of such  $(k + 1)$ -tuples is  $C(n' + r' - 1, n' - 1) = C(n - k + 1, k)$ . (Check: If  $n = 2k - 1$ , there is a unique way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks so that no two are consecutive — namely, you must take every other stick, starting with the leftmost — and sure enough, in this case we get  $C(n - k + 1, k) = C(k, k) = 1$ .)

(c) Associate each way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks with a  $(k + 1)$ -tuple  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  where  $x_0$  is the number non-chosen stick to the left of the first chosen stick,  $x_k$  is the number non-chosen stick to the right of the last chosen stick, and every other  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ ) is the number of non-chosen sticks between the  $i$ th and  $i + 1$ st chosen sticks. Saying that there must be at least  $l$  non-chosen sticks between any two of the chosen sticks is equivalent to saying that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}$  are all greater than or equal to  $l$ . Defining  $y_0 = x_0$  and  $y_k = x_k$  and  $y_i = x_i - l$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , we obtain a  $(k + 1)$ -tuple  $(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k)$  of non-negative integers with sum  $n - k - (k - 1)l = n - k + l - kl$ , and every such  $(k + 1)$ -tuple arises in this way from a unique way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks with no two of the chosen sticks being consecutive. Putting  $n' = k + 1$  and  $r' = n - k + l - kl$ , and applying what we already know about counting sequences of non-negative integers with a prescribed sum, we see that the number of such  $(k + 1)$ -tuples is  $C(n' + r' - 1, n' - 1) = C(n + l - kl, k)$ . (Check: If  $n = (k - 1)l + k$ , there is a unique way of choosing  $k$  of the  $n$  sticks so that no two are consecutive — namely, you must take the 1st stick, then the  $l + 2$ nd, then the  $2l + 3$ rd, etc., up through the  $(k - 1)l + k$ th — and sure enough, in this case we get  $C(n + l - kl, k) = C(k, k) = 1$ .)

- C. (a) *Chapter 3, problem 48. Do this problem directly in terms of multiset permutations. (Hint: Look at the special case  $m = n = 2$ . What reversible operation might you perform on a string of 3 A's and 2 B's that would turn it into a string of 2 A's and at most 2 B's?)*

Given a permutation of  $m + 1$  A's and  $n$  B's (of length  $m + n + 1$ ), we can delete the final A and all the B's that come after it. What results

is a sequence that contains exactly  $m$   $A$ 's and up to  $n$   $B$ 's. Going in reverse, given a sequence that contains exactly  $m$   $A$ 's and up to  $n$   $B$ 's, we can tack an  $A$  on the end, along with as many  $B$ 's afterward as are needed to make the total number of  $B$ 's equal to  $n$ . So there is a one-to-one correspondence between the permutations of  $m+1$   $A$ 's and  $n$   $B$ 's (of which there are  $\binom{m+n+1}{m+1}$ ) and the permutations of  $m$   $A$ 's and at most  $n$   $B$ 's.

(b) Use the addition principle (just once) to show that

$$p(m, m) + p(m+1, m) + p(m+2, m) + \dots + p(m+n, m) = p(m+n+1, m+1),$$

where  $p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is as section 5.1.

Every path from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m+n+1, m+1)$  eventually takes a diagonal-downward step from the  $j = m$  column to the  $j = m+1$  column. Let this step more specifically be  $(i, m) \rightarrow (i+1, m+1)$ . This is the last diagonal step, and it is followed by vertical steps.

Now let  $S$  be the set of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m+n+1, m+1)$ , and let  $S_i$  ( $m \leq i \leq m+n$ ) be the set of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m+n+1, m+1)$  whose last diagonal step goes from  $(i, m)$  to  $(i+1, m+1)$ . Since the sets  $S_i$  are disjoint and have  $S$  as their union, we have

$$|S| = |S_m| + |S_{m+1}| + \dots + |S_{m+n}|.$$

But note that  $|S_i|$  is just  $p(i, m)$ , and  $|S|$  itself is  $p(m+n+1, m+1)$ , so the claim is proved.

(c) Explain the relationship between parts (a) and (b) of this problem.

The truth of (a) implies the truth of (b) and vice versa, because there is a one-to-one correspondence between permutations of  $m$   $A$ 's and  $k$   $B$ 's (on the one hand) and paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m, m+k)$  (on the other), by way of the construction discussed in class. In fact, the proof we used in part (b) is just the pictorial version of the proof we used in part (a), because removing the last diagonal edge of a path (and all the vertical edges that come after it) is tantamount to removing the last  $A$  in a multiset permutation (and all the  $B$ 's that come after it).

- D. Chapter 3, problem 49. Find and fix Brualdi's mistake. (Hint: Look at the special case  $m = n = 1$ . What reversible operation might you

perform on a string of 2  $A$ 's and 2  $B$ 's that would turn it into a string of at most 1  $A$  and at most 1  $B$ ? If you're stuck for ideas, take another look at part (a) of the preceding problem!)

The correct formula is  $\binom{m+n+2}{m+1} - 1$ .

Given any sequence of  $m+1$   $A$ 's and  $n+1$   $B$ 's other than  $A \cdots AB \cdots B$ , the first  $B$  precedes the last  $A$ , so if we remove the last  $A$  and all the  $B$ 's that come after it, and we remove the first  $B$  and all the  $A$ 's that come before it, we obtain a string containing at most  $m$   $A$ 's and at most  $n$   $B$ 's. To reverse this operation, stick an  $A$  at the end, stick a  $B$  at the beginning, and then stick extra  $B$ 's at the end and extra  $A$ 's at the beginning so as to make the total number of  $A$ 's equal to  $m+1$  and the total number of  $B$ 's equal to  $n+1$ . So there is one-to-one correspondence between the permutations of  $m+1$   $A$ 's and  $n+1$   $B$ 's (of which there are  $\binom{m+n+2}{m+1}$ ) and the permutations of at most  $m$   $A$ 's and at most  $n$   $B$ 's.

Brualdi probably subtracted 2 because he was thinking that the "empty permutation" (with 0  $A$ 's and 0  $B$ 's) wouldn't strike students as being a valid permutation. Yet it really should count, if only so as to make Theorem 3.4.3 valid for all choices of  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ , some of which may be zero (so why not permit them *all* to be zero)?

- E. Let  $f(n)$  be the  $n$ th Fibonacci number, so that  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(2) = 2$ , and  $f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2)$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Prove by induction that the sum  $f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n)$  is equal to  $f(n+2) - 2$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $g(n) = f(1) + f(2) + f(3) + \dots + f(n)$ .

Claim:  $g(n) = f(n+2) - 2$ . Proof by induction: We have  $g(1) = 1 = 3 - 2 = f(3) - 2$ . Suppose the claim is true for  $n-1$ , so that  $g(n-1) = f((n-1)+2) - 2 = f(n+1) - 2$ . Then  $g(n) = f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n) = (f(1) + \dots + f(n-1)) + f(n) = g(n-1) + f(n) = (f(n+1) - 2) + f(n) = (f(n) + f(n+1) - 2) = f(n+2) - 2$ , so the claim holds for  $n$  as well. Hence by induction the claim is true for all  $n$ .