



DYNAMIC SYSTEMS TEACHING ENHANCEMENT USING A LABORATORY BASED PROJECT (R.U.B.E.)



Presented by: Dana Nicgorski Authors: Dr. Peter Avitabile, T. Van Zandt, J. Hodgkins, N. Wirkkala Mechanical Engineering Department University of Massachusetts Lowell









The Problem

Dynamic Systems Teaching Enhancement



Undergraduate course in Dynamic Systems requires many pre-requisite courses

Differential Equations Mathematical Methods for Engineers Dynamics etc.

This is basic underlying material that is critical to the material covered in Dynamic Systems







The Problem



Material taught in those prerequisite courses is often considered irrelevant to the student.

Students do not see the practical application to firmly instill these basic STEM concepts (Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics) in their earlier courses

A traditional Dynamic Systems course, with traditional class lecture/homework/test scenario is destined to the same fate as these earlier courses, if taught in the same manner







The Problem



Professor Thoughts:

Hmmmmm.

Student Comment:

Professor, why didn't you tell us that the material covered in other courses was going to be really important for the work we need to do in this Dynamic Systems course ?

Student views material in a disjointed fashion







Professor clearly sees

how pieces fit together







Augment course with Project and Lab Work

- Project requires analytical modeling
 - closed form differential equation
 - Laplace solution
 - MATLAB and Simulink models

Laboratory work further reinforces material - 2nd order system measurement and characterization

Formal reports are peer reviewed









Analytical Modeling Project











Develop closed form solutions for a 2nd order system using

- Ordinary Differential Equations
- Laplace Transformation Approach

Develop computer simulation tools to confirm the closed form solution using

- MATLAB
- Simulink









Every student given a different 2nd order system

Social Security Number identifies M, C, K

Birth day & Birth month identifies initial conditions



Social Security Number	xxx	уу	ZZZZ
System Characteristics	Mass	Damping	Stiffness
Birth month and birthday	month	day	
<i>Birth month and birthday</i> Initial displacement	<i>month</i> month/10	day	







Differential Equation Approach



Students refresh their basic math skills



and assuming an exponential solution form gives















Students refresh their basic math skills

The second order differential equation is

 $m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = f(t)$

Laplace Transformation gives













Students also develop new skills

The system must be modeled in block diagram form











Simulink Model



The block diagram leads into Simulink



Simulink model block diagram for single degree of freedom mass, spring, dashpot system with unit impulse input.









Second Order System Solutions



DYNamic

SYStems





Students can help each other

But ultimately every student has a different problem (different frequency, damping, etc)

Individual reports are written by each student describing all the analyses and models developed









This first project is critical

It forces the students to refresh their basic mathematical tools necessary to solve these types of problems as well as learn new tools

It also guarantees that all team members can contribute constructively to the second project









Laboratory Based Project













A real mass, spring, dashpot system is measured and analyzed

single degree of freedom system multiple excitations variable parameters computer controlled accessible online



Students work in teams of 3-4 on this project









Lab Based Project - SDOF System







Dynamic Systems Teaching Enhancement







System excitations

three different initial displacements

cam with different height lobes

19

impact excitation

electric solenoid











Variable parameters

data set

each data set unique



variable mass (reservoir based system)

variable stiffness (leaf spring with changing effective length)

much like analytical project, each group must formulate its own solution to a unique











Computer controlled



Labview based VI

accessible via the internet



21











Measurement system

3 different sensitivity accelerometers

LVDT Force transducer

Various measurement issues to deal with

> quantization error drift, bias filtering













Students must characterize the system what is the mass, damping and stiffness what were the initial conditions what was the input force

Forces use of STEM material









Students presented system characteristics in pieces throughout a set of documents

Parameters scattered much like in a real world situation

transducer sensitivities

mass of system/volume of water container

leaf and coil spring material properties and measured stiffness









Open ended assignment

students not told which data sets or transducers to use, but the model created must be validated with other data sets

Students must determine the set of characteristics which represents the system best















Students must reconcile agreement issues between system characteristics











Students realize that there is not one specific way to solve the problem - there are many alternate ways to approach this solution

Students apply skills learned in first project





Lab Based Project



Students struggle at times since there is no one way to solve this problem.

Eventually reasonable results are obtained

Students generally have a much better, deeper understanding the STEM prerequisite material at the end of the project









Each group must submit a report.

This report is then given back to a different group for peer review !!!!!

Students better understand the material and see common pitfalls in writing style









Groups are allowed to see how others approached the problem

Students quickly realize how difficult it is to write a good report and how hard it is to review a report if it is not well organized

Students also realize where their own report was deficient









Professor Observations & Assessments













In terms of understanding Ordinary Differential Equations after completing the ODE course:

48% felt that they had a vague understanding on the material overall and

45% felt they understood the material well



Dynamic Systems Teaching Enhancement



33





Without application, the ODE remains abstract and the student has no clear reason to retain the material

Math was taught for the sake of math and not for engineering solution

To quote one professor at the beginning of an ODE course:

"we will not cover any applications in this course"

Luckily this is changing









Upon completing the Dynamic Systems course (which instituted the new hands-on, laboratorybased open-ended project with a substantial review of ODE, Laplace, etc):

more than 75% stated that they understood the basic ODE, Laplace, etc. well and

the remaining 25% stated that they understood the material very well.









When asked if the project were not included how well they would understand the material:

over 45% responded that they would probably only vaguely understand how to solve a dynamic system problem









When asked if the project challenged them:

85% felt that the problem was significant and pushed them to be creative in solving the problem

over 75% of the students felt that the physical measurement tremendously enhanced their understanding of the problem









And when asked if the project should remain as part of the course:

85% felt that it was a critical part of the course and is necessary in order to firmly instill the underlying STEM concepts

(even though 100% of the students stated that it was a significant burden in terms of workload)

Without the project dynamic systems would be like any other math course



















A new hands-on, laboratory-based project has been added as a supplement to a traditional senior level Dynamic Systems course.

The students tend to better understand the material as evidenced from overall capabilities and student comments regarding how they feel with respect to their overall understanding of the material.









The hands-on, laboratory-based project helps the students to better understand the basic core STEM material necessary for solving these types of problems

The students appear to better understand the material overall through "living the material" rather than learning/memorizing equations that do not appear to have any practical relevance









Student comments relative to inclusion of the project were overwhelming positive.

The students feel that the project is a critical part of the course that helped them to better understand all the material presented in the Dynamic Systems course,

as well as material in related courses.





Dr. Peter Avitabile, Associate Professor

Mechanical Engineering Department



Acknowledgements



This project is partially supported by NSF Engineering Education Division Grant EEC-0314875

Multi-Semester Interwoven Project for Teaching Basic Core STEM Material Critical for Solving Dynamic Systems Problems













DYNAMIC SYSTEMS TEACHING ENHANCEMENT USING A LABORATORY BASED HANDS-ON PROJECT



Presented by: Dana Nicgorski Authors: Dr. Peter Avitabile, T. Van Zandt, J. Hodgkins, N. Wirkkala Mechanical Engineering Department University of Massachusetts Lowell



