## Lecture 36 - Analysis of Riffle Shuffle II

Scribe: Sam Greenberg

As stated before, our shuffling algorithm gives each card an additional random bit, eventually ordering the cards. More formally, we create a matrix of $n$ rows. At each step, we add a column of 0 s and 1 s . We stop when each card has a distinct label.

Lemma 36.1. This is a strong stopping time.

Proof. Consider what happens after one step. All the cards labeled 0 are on the top, and all the cards labeled 1 are on the bottom.

After two steps, the order goes $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)$
Once labels are distinct, the order is uniquely determined. Since the deck is symmetric, any permutation is equally likely, so any ordering is equally likely.

For a mixing time bound, remember that

$$
\left\|p^{t}-\pi\right\|_{T V}=\left\|p^{\star t}-\pi\right\| \leq \mathrm{P}[T>t]
$$

Now, $T>t$ if, after $t$ steps of the inverse shuffle, two cards have the same labelling. There are $2^{t} t$-bit strings and $n$ cards. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}[T \leq t] & =\mathrm{P}[\text { all distinct labels }] \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} 1-\frac{i}{2^{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

That means

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|p^{\star t}-\pi\right\|_{T V} & =\mathrm{P}[T>t] \\
& =1-\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} 1-\frac{i}{2^{t}} \\
& =e^{\sum \log \left(1-\frac{i}{2^{2}}\right)} \\
& \approx e^{-\sum \frac{i}{2^{t}}} \\
& =e^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2^{t}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t=2 \log \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|p^{t}-\pi\right\|_{T V} & \leq \frac{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}{2^{t}} \\
& =\frac{n(n-1)}{2\left(\frac{n}{c}\right)^{2}} \\
& \approx \frac{c^{2}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means it takes 11 shuffles to bring a 52 card deck to distance $\frac{1}{2}$.
Bayer and Diaconis found the exacty total variation distance of the riffle shuffle. If $t=\log \left(\frac{3}{2} \log (n)+c\right.$, then

$$
\|\cdot\|_{T V}=1-2 \Phi\left(\frac{-2^{-c}}{4 \sqrt{3}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right)
$$

where $\Phi(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\frac{-t^{2}}{2}} d t$.
This calculation gives total variation distance 0.924 after 5 shuffles, but 0.614 after 6 , and 0.334 after 7 . It drops down further after that. This was the published result that seven shuffles suffices for a 52 card deck.

We now turn to random walks on the truncated hypercube.
Let $\Omega=\left\{x \in[0,1]^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \leq b\right\}$ for fixed $a$ and $b$. We use the lazy max-degree walk to sample from $\Omega$ :

1. Choose the direction $i \in 1, \ldots, n$ uniformly at random.
2. Half of the time, do nothing.
3. The other half of the time, change coordinate $i$ if doing so keeps us in $\Omega$.

We might try canonical paths, but we have to be careful we stay in $\Omega$. Next lecture we'll talk about bounding away the distance from the border, while still keeping conductance low.

